

1895-068 Chancery Causes: W. N. C. Slomp vs. Mary Barron &  
Lee Co.

Gaines, Gains, Ely, Barker

CA-Debt  
T-Property



Virginia.

To The Honorable H. S. K. Morrison Judge  
of the Circuit Court of Lee County.

Humbly Complaining, Sheweth unto  
your honor your orator W. N. Y. Shump  
that on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1893. he obtained  
before Joseph B. Barker a Justice of the Peace of  
Lee County a judgment <sup>which is filed herewith marked A.</sup> against Mary Barron  
F. H. Gains Ed Dora Gains (all colored) of the said  
County, for Twenty dollars (\$20<sup>00</sup>) with interest  
thereon, at six percent per annum from the 1<sup>st</sup> day  
of January 1891, till paid ~~and \$3<sup>40</sup> Costs~~, on which  
said judgment a writ of fieri facias was duly issued  
directed to the Constable and returnable in 60 days  
which was placed in the hands of the Constable  
to be executed and on the return day thereof was  
returned ~~Not executed~~ No property, M. H. Gains & Co.

Now your orator further states, that the said  
Mary Barron, F. H. Gains Ed Dora Gains are seized and  
possessed in person of a tract of land lying and  
being in the said County, containing 110 acres more  
or less, bounded by the lands of Barr. Bailey and others  
and that he is advised ~~of~~ that his judgment  
(no part of which has been paid) is a <sup>subsisting</sup> lien, under the  
honoring been duly docketed in the County Court clerk's office in judgment ~~the docket~~  
statute law of Virginia, on the said real estate, that  
the rents and profits of the said real estate will satisfy  
the said judgment in five years.

In tender consideration whereof, farasmuch as your  
orator is remediless in the premises, save by the aid  
of a Court of equity, where matters of this kind are  
alone and properly cognizable, Your orator prays  
that the said, Mary Barron, F. H. Gains and Dora Gains,  
be made parties defendant to this bill, and required on



Hyatt ~~7.95 to June 90~~  
M C 699

\$ 1.50  
15.00  
Estimate 5.00  
\$ 3.644 Paid  
Comm. 4.00

C. S. 150.00  
26.44  
21.27 22.40  
2.55 48.84  
23.11 5  
2,442.0  
48.84  
50. 3-1.28

23.11 C 8.52  
3.623 S 1.58  
13.11

Atty 5.00  
Depos 125  
Estimate 500

\$ 21.27  
22.40  
3.67

49.37

23.68  
49.37  
79.73

W. H. S. Shimp, Plff.

VS. Bill in Chancery  
Mary Barron et al. Defts

1893 1st Sept Rules Bill  
filed Spa Excl + D. W.  
" 2d Sept Rules D. W. Confd  
+ Cause set for hearing  
by Plaintiff

Plffs Costs  
C 8.92  
S 1.50  
Atty 5.00  
Depos 125  
\$ 16.67

November Term 1895  
Decease final Chcy  
Oct 11. 5 P. 286

their Corporal sales to answer the same, according  
to the best of their knowledge and belief, as fully and  
particularly as if the statements of this bill were  
true again repeated, and the said defendants should  
specially interpose, that the said estate be set  
to satisfy your master's judgment, and that your power  
be granted unto your master such further and greater  
relief as may be consistent with equity and the costs  
against, and your master will leave for said  
M. 4. 28 P. 285



To the honorable W.T.Miller, Judge for the Circuit Court for Lee County, Virginia:

The demurrer and answer of Mary Barron to a bill of complaint exhibited against her and others in this honorable Court by W.N.G.Slemp.

Respondent says that the complainant's bill is not sufficient in law to call upon her to answer it in this honorable court, but that there is good cause of demurrer thereto and she accordingly demurs to said bill, and prays judgement of her said demurrer. And not waiving said demurrer, but relying and insisting thereon, should other and further answer be required of her, answering she says:

That she has no knowledge of any such judgement being rendered against her and her co-defendants F.H.Gaines and Dora Gaines as that described in the bill, and she calls for strict proof of said judgement. If any fieri facias as that described in the bill was ever issued, she has no knowledge of it and calls for full proof. Respondent denies that she is the owner in fee simple of any land situated in Lee County, Virginia. It is true that she is the owner of a dower interest in a tract of land situated in Turkey Cove, Lee County, Virginia, on Stocker's knob, owned by her husband in his lifetime, which said tract contained 110 acres, though dower has never yet been assigned to her. This land is valuable, and her dower interest in it would rent for enough to pay said alleged judgement in five years renting, together with the legal costs of this suit. Respondent is informed that the alleged judgement is claimed to have been rendered on some kind of garnishee proceedings sued out before one of the justices of this county by W.N.G.Slemp against Robert P.Barron in which she and her co-defendants were summoned as garnishees to answer of their indebtedness to the said Robert P. Barron. As respondent remembers it her son-in-law and co-defendant, H.F. Gaines, was indebted to the said Robert P. Barron in the sum of \$20.00, evidenced by two notes, one for \$6.00 and the other for \$14.00. She was the surity of the said Gaines for



said \$6.00 note, but she has no recollection whatever of being his surety on the \$14.00 note. Respondent will now show that she is old, uneducated, a former slave, with no business qualifications, and she here calls on the plaintiff for strict ~~proof~~ proof of every material allegation of his bill. Respondent will further show your honor that she is informed that the plaintiff has no right whatever to collect this sum of money; that whatever sum is due from her, or her co-defendants is justly due to Robert P. Barron, who claims the same and that he the said R.P.Barron, as she is informed has fully paid the complainant the amount of indebtedness which he claimed against him and upon which proceedings were taken by garnishment and upon which the alleged judgement was entered. She further states that she does not collude with the said R.P.Barron, but she does not want to pay said debt twice and she prays that the said R.P.Barron may be brought properly before the court so that ~~when it is ascertained~~ it may be ascertained who is entitled to the money which she and her co-defendants owe, and then she will pay the same. ~~Respondent says she was not present before Joseph P. Barker at the time said judgement is alleged to have been rendered.~~

And now having answered as fully as deemed expedient that she should answer, respondent prays to be hence dismissed with her reasonable costs &c.

Dorcas V. Lyatt, p.d.

Virginia, Lee County, to wit:

I, A.B.Munsey, Clerk of the Circuit Court for Lee County, Virginia, do certify that Mary Barron this day personally appeared before me in my county aforesaid and made oath that the statements made in the foregoing answer are true so far as made ~~on~~ ~~her~~ of her own knowledge, and that she believes them to be true so far as made upon the information of others.

A.B. Munsey, Clerk.



Mary Barrow et al  
vs.  $\frac{3}{2}$  Answer  
W. N. G. Sloup.

Filed in open court  
and by leave thereof  
this the 10th day of  
November 1894  
A. B. Munsey  
Clerk

This answer is offered to be read in the court and  
adhere to the facts stated in the answer at the  
former term of this court and because it does  
not answer the material allegations of the bill.  
M. J. G. (A. B.)



W. N. G. Slunk Deft.

vs.

} } Deere Final

Mary Barron et al. Defts

This cause come on this day to be heard again upon the papers formerly read in the cause and the report of Commissioner M. G. Ely and deed filed therewith, and was argued by counsel <sup>and deed</sup> and considered of which said report, is approved and confirmed, and the purchaser C. Slunk is hereby granted to withdraw said deed from the cause for the purposes of recordation, and it is further ordered and decreed that the purchaser C. Slunk pay to M. G. Ely Commissioner the sum of \$5<sup>00</sup> for executing said deed, and nothing further remaining to be done the cause is stricken from the docket,



W. H. G. Sleep

us. } Dear Friend

Many thanks

O.B.P. 256

Enter this  
MY FM

Nov 9th 1895



W. N. Y. Skimp  
vs.  $\{ \}$  Deere  
Mary Barron et al

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause and the report of sale by commissioner M. Y. Ely, and was argued by counsel. On consideration of which, said report having been filed the time required by law and being unexcepted to, the court doth adjudge, order and decree that said report be approved and confirmed, and that M. Y. Ely the commissioner disburse the costs to those entitled thereto and that he proceed to collect the purchase money of <sup>not to</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>and when same is paid M. Y. Ely is to make</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>to make</sup> ~~the same~~ <sup>the same</sup> become due <sup>and</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> he will report his action to court once the cause is continued.

the fund is called with counsel of said court



W. H. G. Skemp.

vs. E. B. Cree.

Mary Bassett et al

C.B.P. 254

Enter this

Nov. 8th 1895,

O.K. D.H.



W. N. G. Slump -  
vs.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Decree,  
Mary Barron et al.

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause and the depositions of witnesses for the plaintiff and was argued by counsel. On consideration of which, it appearing to the court that the judgment here sought to be enforced has been fully proven, it is adjudged ordered and decreed that the plaintiff W. N. G. Slump recover of the defendants F. H. Gains, Dora Gains and Mary Barron, the sum of \$20. with interest thereon from the 24<sup>th</sup> day of July 1893, till paid, and costs of this suit, And it is further adjudged, ordered and decreed, that M. G. Ely who is hereby appointed a special commissioner for the purpose (it appearing to the court that the interests in the said land in the bill mentioned owned by defendants Mary Barron and Dora Gains is undivided) do proceed to advertise to and sell to the highest bidder in front of <sup>the</sup> court house ~~of~~ of Lee County on some court day, after having first duly advertised the same for at least 30 days by written notice posted in the vicinity of said land and on the front door of the court house, ~~Said Commissioner will first sell~~ the undivided interest of Dora Gains in said tract of land, and if said interest of Dora Gains does not bring the debt and costs of this suit, he will then proceed to sell the undivided lower interest of Mary Barron in said tract. Said sale shall be upon a credit of 6 and 12 months except the costs and commissions of sale which will be required to be paid down, The purchaser will execute







W. H. G. Shump

vs. L. C. Chy  
Mary Barron et al

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause of sale and the commission's report, of M. H. Chy and exceptions thereto and was argued by counsel. Upon consideration of which it is ordered by the court that the exceptions to said report be sustained and that the said sale be set aside, and upon motion of the defendant Mary Barron leave is granted her to file her answer, and the cause coming on again to be heard upon the bill of complaint, answer of defendant Mary Barron, and general replication thereto and was argued by counsel, on consideration of which the cause is continued.



Entered in

Charicery Order  
Book Page 93

Enter This

M. J. M.

Nov. 15<sup>th</sup> Th. 1894.



M. v. G. Sheep

vs. Lee chs. Deene  
Mary Barron et als,

This cause came on this day to be heard upon the papers formerly read in the cause and the Commissioners report. And was argued by counsel, On consideration of which it is adjudged ordered and decreed that the said Commissioners report be confirmed, And it is further adjudged, ordered and decreed, that unless the defendants or some one for them pay to the complainant the debt in the bill mentioned within ten days from the rising of this Court, That M. G. Ely, who is hereby appointed a special commissioner for the purpose sell the land in the bill mentioned to the highest and best bidder on a credit of 6 months, except costs of suit which will be required to be at public outcry in front of the depot at Chicago Station Va. after having first duly advertised the same by posting notice for 30 days on the front door of Court house of Lee County and in the vicinity of said land, but before sale the commissioners shall execute bond in the sum of \$100. Conditioned according to law, and report to court and the cause is continued —



W. M. G. Sharp  
U.S. { { { Decree — No. 2  
{ { {

Many Bananetals

Ent on Chy. Oid. Book 4 P. 22  
4

Enter this  
M J M  
June 17th / 1894.



W. H. G. Sharp Pff.

vs.  $\frac{2}{3}$  Deere to rent.

Mary Barron et al. Defs.

This cause came on this day to be heard on the bill taken for confessed as to the defendants Mary Barron, Hugh & Dora Gains, on whom process had been duly served, they still failing to appear and plead answer and demur to the said bill, And was argued by counsel, On consideration whereof, the court doth adjudge, order and decree that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of \$50. with interest from the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1893, till paid, And it is further adjudged and decreed that unless the defendants or some one for them pay to the plaintiff the aforesaid sum within ten days from the rising of the this court that M. G. Ely who is hereby appointed a special commissioner for the purpose after having duly advertised the same by posting written notice on the front door of the Court house for at least 30 days and in the vicinity of said land, shall proceed to rent to the highest bidder <sup>on some court day</sup> the land in the bill mentioned, or so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy said claim, on 1/2 years time except as to costs of suit and sale which must be paid down, balance secured by return bond with approved security made payable to com, but before rental commission will execute bond in the sum of \$50. pursuant to law and report to court and the cause is continued.



W. N. G. Sleep Peff-

W 33 Decru to Rent,  
33 No. 1

Mary Baranet also left.

Entered Ch. O.B. 575 1/6  
Novr. 11<sup>th</sup> 1893.

Enter this

Nov. 13<sup>th</sup> 1893.

H. L. K. M.



The deposition of M. G. Ely and others taken before me W. A. Crigg a notary public in and for the county of Lee and state of Virginia, pursuant to notice hereto attached at W. A. Crigg's office in the town of Dryden Lee Co. Va. between the hours of 9. A. M. and 6. P. M. on April the 13th 1895, to be read as evidence in behalf of W. N. G. Skunk in a certain suit <sup>in equity</sup> depending in the Circuit Court of Lee Co. Va. wherein W. N. G. Skunk is plaintiff and Mary Barron, Hugh Gains and Dora Gains are defendants.

Present: M. G. Ely attorney for Plaintiff. M. G. Ely a witness of lawful age being duly sworn deposes and says.

Ques. Please state your age, and occupation?  
ans. I am 29 yrs. An attorney at Law

Ques. Are you acquainted with the defendants in this suit?

ans. I am.

Ques. Please state what you know in regard to the Judgment here sought to be enforced against the said defendants?

ans. I was, at Joseph B. Barker on the 27th. day of July 1893. when there was a garnishee summons in the cause of W. N. G. Skunk vs. R. P. Barron, returned before the said Joseph B. Barker, who was then an acting Justice of the Peace for Lee County. The defendants in this cause, Mary Barron, Dora Gains and H. T. Gains were summoned on that day to appear before said Justice to state on oath in what sum or



1 sum as they were indebted to the said R. P.  
2 Barron. The defendants in this cause were  
3 all present <sup>at that time</sup> and stated on oath that they were  
4 indebted to said R. P. Barron in the sum of  
5 \$20. Their indebtedness was also proven before  
6 the said Justice Joseph B. Barker by two judgments  
7 rendered in favor of R. P. Barron vs. said defendants  
8 The defendants in this suit were all present  
9 when Justice J. B. Barker rendered the judgment  
10 against them in favor of M. N. H. Sharp for  
11 \$20. The judgment he sought to be enforced -  
12 Said judgment rendered by said J. B. Barker Justice  
13 as of and said is here filed with my deposition  
14 marked 'Original Judgment' and asked to be treated  
15 as part of same.

Ques. 16 Please state if you know whether or not said  
17 judgment has ever been placed in the judgment  
18 Lien book in County Court Clerk's office  
19 of Lee County, Va.?

Ans. 20 I know that said judgment has been  
21 docketed. I have seen the same in the County  
22 Court Clerk's office of Lee County in judgment  
23 Lien book. <sup>as by process in Lien docket is here filed and asked to</sup>  
24 be treated as part of this deposition.

And further this deponent saith not;

M. H. Eby

26 Joseph B. Barker another witness of Lawful age being duly  
27 sworn deposes and says . . .

Ques. 28 Please state your age, occupation and what office  
29 do you hold in Lee County Va.?

30 My age is 41 years, Mechanic by oc-  
31 cupation, and am Justice of the  
32 Peace.



Ques. Are you acquainted with the defendants to this suit?  
ans. Partially -

Ques. Were you an acting Justice of the Peace for Lee County  
on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 1843, and so such did you  
render a judgment in favor of M. S. Ely vs.  
Mary Barron & her heirs ad H. J. Barron for \$20

Ans. I was an acting Justice at that time  
I did render said judgment.

And further this defendant saith  
not.

Joseph B. Barron J. J.

Virginia

County of Lee Lo wit:-

I W. A. Orr, Jr. a  
Notary Public for the County  
and State of aforesaid do hereby certify  
that the foregoing depositions of  
M. S. Ely and Joseph B. Barron  
were duly taken sworn to  
and subscribed before me  
at the time and place and for  
the purpose in the Caption  
hereto mentioned Given  
under my hand this 18<sup>th</sup>  
day of April 1845 -  
W. A. Orr, Jr. N. P.



N. N. Y. Slump  
vs. 3 Depts. of Puff-

Mary Barron et al.

Received by mail in Good  
Condition and filed  
April 26th 1895.

A. B. Munsey clerk  
by S. D. Richards  
De

N. N. Y. Slump Dr  
Fees -

Notary Public \$  
W. H. H. Jr. --- 75-

Witness J. S. Barker - --- 50  
1.25-



W. N. G. Shuck Plff -

vs. 33 Judgment.

R. P. Barron Def.

At Joseph B. Barker on the 27<sup>th</sup>  
day of July 1893. Upon hearing judgment  
is rendered the plaintiff And F. H. Gains  
Dora Gains and Mary Barron, who have been  
garnished in this cause, having appeared  
and admitted on oath, that they are indebted  
to the said R. P. Barron in the sum of \$20<sup>00</sup>  
Judgment is granted the plaintiff against  
the said F. H. Gains, Dora Gains and Mary Barron  
for the sum of \$20<sup>00</sup> for the use of the said  
~~W. N. G. Shuck.~~  
~~R. P. Barron and for \$1.00 Costs of this~~  
~~cause.~~ Given under my hand the date above  
written.

Joseph B. Barker J. P.



W. M. G. Slump Off.

U.S. Judgment.

Mary Barron et al.

Exhibit "A"

"Original Judgment."



To

*Mary Barron et al*

Take notice, that on the <sup>th</sup> *13* day of *April*, 189*5*, at the office of *W. A. Auger*  
in the town of *Dryden Lee Co. Va.*, between the hours of 9  
o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m. of that day, I shall proceed to take the depositions of

*J. B. Barker et al*

to be read in evidence in my behalf in the suit in equity depending in the *Circuit* Court of

*Lee* County in which *W. N. G. Slush* is

Plaintiff and

*Mary Barron et al* — — — — — *are*

Defendants; and if from any cause the taking of said depositions be not commenced on that day,  
or if commenced, if they be not completed on that day, the taking of said depositions will be  
adjourned and continued from time to time and place to place until they are completed.

Respectfully,

*W. N. G. Slush* —

*By counsel*



Wm. H. Shurt

vs } Notice.

Mary Barron et al

Virginia, Wise County, to-wit:-

I, R. B. Barron, a Notary Public in and for the County and State aforesaid do certify that Chas. Reason, this day personally appeared before me and made oath that he delivered a true copy of the within notice to Mary Barron (col) in the town of Big Stone Gap, Wise County, Va., by delivering the same to her and explaining the contents thereof to her, on the 29th day of March, 1895.

Witness my hand this 29th day of  
March 1895

R. B. Barron, N. P.



W. n. G. Shuck  
vs. { Lee C. G. G.

Mary Barron et al

To The Honorable W. T. Miller Judge of the Circuit  
Court of Lee County. Your undersigned Special  
Commissioner would respectfully report to your  
honor that pursuant to a decree entered in this  
cause on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of Nov. 1893. he on the 1<sup>st</sup>  
day of the April term of the County Court of Lee  
County offered for rent to the highest bidder  
the lands in the bill mentioned, after having  
first duly advertised the same for 30 days  
at the front door of the Court house and in  
the vicinity of the land. Your Commissioner  
could not get any bids or offerings to rent at  
said time. And he is fully advised that said  
land will not rent for enough in five years  
to pay the said debt. And he therefore recommends  
a sale of said land. Respt,

W. G. G.

Special Commissioner



Mary Barneis et al

ads } } Come-Report  
{ }

W. n. Y. Sleep-



W. H. G. Shump.

vs.  $\frac{3}{4}$  Lee Chy -  
Mary Barron et al.

To the Honorable W. S. Miller Judge of the  
Circuit Court of Lee County.

Your Commissioner would respectfully  
report that pursuant to a decree entered in  
this Cause on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 1894, he on the  
28<sup>th</sup> day of July 1894, after having duly advertised  
for 30 days, in front of the depot at Clinger  
Station, proceeded to sell to the highest-bidder  
the lands in the bill described and directed by the  
decree to be sold. Consisting of the undivided downer  
interest of Mary Barron, and the undivided interest  
of Dora Gains, There were several bidders and  
the bidding was very spirited, The highest-bidder  
was M. H. Reason who bid \$80. And I declared  
him the purchaser, Mr. M. H. Reason paid to me  
as Commissioner the costs and expense of sale  
which amounted to \$40.44. He executed to me  
his bond for \$39.56. the balance of the purchase  
due in six months from the date thereof  
with P. M. Reason as security on said bond  
Your Commissioner has executed the bond  
required by him pursuant to the decree of the  
court, ~~and~~ before the clerk And he believes that  
the amount bid is a reasonable amount for  
the land, taking in to consideration its location  
and its being undivided, He therefore



recommends that - The sale be confirmed,  
all of which is most respectfully  
submitted,

M. G. Ely  
Special Commissioner

This report and the sale return report  
are both referred to. Because  
1. There is an error in the taxation of  
costs in this. There is \$7.95 taxed  
for Hyatt bond, when the amt was  
not instituted until after his term  
of office expired.

2. Because \$15.00 attorney fee is taxed  
when under the law only \$5.00  
should be taxed.

3. Sale was made before bond  
was given, as required by  
the decree under which he  
acted. See date of bond

4. Decree of sale was in  
provisionally entered. It was  
imposed therefore as to the property

and no effort was made to quit

While it is true there is a report in  
the cause reporting an effort and  
failure to quit. Said report is not  
filed, and the Comm. has given no bond  
and any action by him was illegal.

5. Because Court did not call the  
land ordered to be sold.

Decree & Hyatt.

Sale

Wm. G. Shuck.

W. G. Ely Report of Commissioner

M. G. Ely -  
Mary Barronsdale

Filed August the 29<sup>th</sup>

1894

A. B. Munney Clerk



To the Honorable W. T. Miller Judge of The  
Circuit Court of Lee County,

Your undersigned Special Commissioner  
in the Chancery cause of W. M. G. Shuck vs.  
Mary Barron et al, begs leave to report  
that pursuant to the decree entered in this  
cause at the June term of circuit court, he  
has advertised and sold the lands in the bill  
and proceedings mentioned, said sale was  
made on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of July 1895, at Jonesville  
in front of the Court house, <sup>of Lee County</sup> after having advertised  
30 days, Your commissioner first sold the  
undivided interest of Dora Gains, which brought  
\$25.<sup>00</sup> C. Shuck being the purchaser, next sold  
was the undivided dower interest of Mary Barron  
which brought \$25.<sup>00</sup> C. Shuck being the purchaser,  
said purchaser paid your commissioner \$28.<sup>27</sup>  
costs and commissions of sale, and executed his  
to several bonds payable in six and twelve months  
with M. D. Collier as security, Your commission  
recommends that said sale be confirmed,  
all of which is most respectfully submitted,

M. G. Ely,  
Special Commissioner



W. M. G. Skunk  
vs { Report of Sale by  
Commissioner M. G. Ely.  
Mary Banon et al.  
Filed August the 19th  
1895.  
A. B. Munsey Clerk



To the Honorable W. J. Miller Judge of the  
Circuit Court of Lee County,

Your undersigned Special Commissioner  
begs leave to report that pursuant to the  
deed entered in the Chancery cause of W. H. G.  
Sleep vs. Mary Barrow et al on the 8th day  
of Nov. 1895, the purchaser of the interests of the  
trust of land in the bill mentioned has paid  
your Commissioner the entire <sup>amount of the</sup> purchase  
money of said land, and is therefore entitled  
to a deed to said land, which your  
Commissioner has accordingly executed to the  
said C. Sleep purchaser, and here files the  
said deed with his report and asks your  
honor to confirm the same, all of which  
is respectfully submitted, This Nov. 9th, 1895

M. G. Ealy

Special Commissioner



W. N. G. Slump.

us { { Commission  
      { { Report.

May Barnes et al

Filed Novr the 9th  
1895- A B Munsey Clk



15 Renting of Land.

16 W. M. G. Skunk

17 vs. J. Ely.

18 Mary Barron et al.

19 Pursuant to a decree rendered in the above styled  
20 Cause at the last Nov. term of Circuit Court of  
21 Lee County, I will on the 1st day of the April term  
22 of County Court 1894, offer to rent to the highest bidder  
23 the lands owned by Mary Barron and David Gains  
24 situated in Turkey Cove Va. Said land will be rented  
25 on 1 and 2 years time except costs which will be  
26 required to be paid in hand. This 3/16/94.

27 M. G. Ely -

28 Special Commissioner

29  
30  
31  
32



Mary Barron.



Know all Men by these Presents, That we M. G. Ely and  
A. L. Ely

are held and firmly bound unto the Commonwealth of Virginia, in the sum of One  
Hundred dollars, to the payment whereof, well and truly to be made to  
the said Commonwealth of Virginia, we bind ourselves and each of us, our and each of our heirs,  
executors, and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents. And we hereby  
waive the benefit of our homestead exemptions as to this obligation, and any claim or right  
to discharge any liability to the Commonwealth arising under this bond or by virtue of said office,  
post or trust, with coupons detached from the bond of this State. Sealed with our seals, and  
dated this 20th day of August one thousand eight hundred and ninety  
four

THE CONDITION OF THE ABOVE OBLIGATION IS SUCH, That whereas if the above  
bound M. G. Ely shall faithfully  
perform the duties of his office as  
trustee, as Commissioner under a decree  
of the Circuit Court of the County of  
Lee pronounced on the 12th day of  
June 1894 in the suit therein de-  
pending under the name and style  
of H. A. G. Slomp against Mary Barron  
et al

then this obligation to be void or otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

M. G. Ely [SEAL.]  
A. L. Ely [SEAL.]  
[SEAL.]

In the Circuit Court of the County of Lee, the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_  
189 .

This bond was executed and acknowledged, in open Court, by the obligors, and ordered to  
be recorded, \_\_\_\_\_

the suret therein having first justified on oath that \_\_\_\_\_ estate, after the payment of all  
\_\_\_\_\_ just debts, and those for which he \_\_\_\_\_ bound as security for others and expect  
to have to pay, \_\_\_\_\_ worth the sum of \_\_\_\_\_  
dollars, over and above all exemptions allowed by law.

Teste:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk.



---

*M. G. Ely et als*

to { **BOND.**

Commonwealth.

---

*N. H. Y. Sleep*  
*vs. ~~vs.~~ Chaucery.*  
*Mary Barton et als.*



To Mary Barron, Dore Gains, H. T. Gains & Co. Slump.  
You're hereby notified that on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of Oct.  
1895, at the town of Gladeville, Wise County Virginia  
I will move Judge W. T. Miller in vacation at his office  
of the Circuit Court of Lee County, to confirm the report  
of sale of the lands of Mary Barron & Dore Gains  
made by Commissioner M. G. Ealy on the 13<sup>th</sup> day  
of July 1895 pursuant to a decree rendered in the  
chancery cause of W. N. G. Slump vs. Mary Barron  
& als. pending in the circuit court of Lee County Va.  
at which sale G. Slump was the purchaser and  
report of sale having been <sup>filed & returned</sup> ~~regarded~~ by law.

This Sept. 17<sup>th</sup> 1895.

Respectfully  
W. N. G. Slump  
By Counsel.



Virginia Lee County to wit: -

J. C. D. Smith a Justice of the Peace in and for the county and  
state of Virginia do certify that M. G. Ely personally appeared before  
me and made oath that he on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of Sept. 1895 delivered a  
true copy of the within notice to J. T. Hyatt of the law firm of  
Duncan & Hyatt attorneys for the defendant Mary Barron H. T. Gair  
and Dora Gair. Given under my hand this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of Oct.  
1895

C. D. Smith J.P.

W. N. G. Slump,  
vs. Notice to confirm  
Mary Barron et als

I accept legal service of  
the within notice this Sept  
17<sup>th</sup>, 1895. C. Slump

~~Executed by delivering a true  
copy of the within notice to  
L. J. Duncan, Counsel for  
Mary Barron, Dora Gair &  
H. T. Gair. This Sept. 18<sup>th</sup> 1895~~



# The Commonwealth of Virginia.

To The Sheriff of Lee County Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU TO SUMMON

*Mary Barron F. H. Gaines and*  
*Dora Gains*

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, at the Court House, on the ~~first~~ <sup>first</sup> Monday in *September* next, being rule day to answer a bill in Chancery exhibited in our said Court against *them* by *W. N. G. Slump*

And have then and there this writ.

Witness, *A. B. Mursey* Clerk of said Court at the Courthouse.

This *11th* day of *August* 18*93*, in the 11*8* year of the Commonwealth.

A Copy Teste

*A. B. Mursey* Clerk.

*A. B. Mursey* Clerk.



W. N. G. Sloop  
vs. Spain Chy  
Mary Barron et al  
To 1<sup>st</sup> Sept Rules. 1893

Executed Aug 31 1893  
by Delivering a true  
office copy of the  
within sum to

Mary Barron et al.  
Gaines & Dora Gaines  
at 11 Delach St.  
this Aug 31 1893  
L. M. Wade D. C.  
for R. E. Flannery  
S. L. C.